UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

RRG, a District of Columbia, Risk Refention	CARTISTO TO ASSESS THE			
Plaintiff(s),	CASE NO. 10-ov-05533-EJD			
Transition,				
v.	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER SELECTING ADR PROCESS			
Valley Corp. B., a California Corporation formerly known as R.J. Haas Corp.; et al.				
Defendant(s).				
Counsel report that they have met and following stipulation pursuant to Civil L.R. 1	conferred regarding ADR and have reached the 6-8 and ADR L.R. 3-5:			
The parties agree to participate in the following	ng ADR process:			
Court Processes:				
 Non-binding Arbitration (ADR 				
☐ Early Neutral Evaluation (ENE	E) (ADR L.R. 5)			
✓ Mediation (ADR L.R. 6)				
ADR phone conference and may not file this just ADR Phone Conference. See Civil Local Rule Private Process: Private ADR (please identify p.				
	deadline is 90 days from the date of the order rocess unless otherwise ordered.)			
other requested deadline Decem	ıber 2, 2011			
Dated: 3/3///	Attorney for Plaintiff			
Dated: 8-31-11	_81C			
	Attorney for Defendant			
	Défendants Ty. Levine.			
•	and Karen Levine			
	· ·			

Dated: 8/31/201

Attorney for Defendant

Ronald J. Haas

When filing this document in ECF, please be sure to use the appropriate ADR Docket Event, e.g., "Stipulation and Proposed Order Selecting Early Neutral Evaluation."

[PROPOSED] ORDER

□.	Non-binding Arbitration			
	Early Neutral Evaluation (ENE)			
ŒΧ	Mediation		•	
□.	Private ADR	•		
Dead	lline for ADR session		٠.	
	90 days from the date of this order.			
X	other			

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: September 6, 2011

Eal Julah

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

1

2

4 5

6

8

Chip B. Cox

LALLY LLP

San Ramon, CA 94583

Email: chipc@longlevit.com

Tel. (925) 866-1000

Fax: (925) 830-8787

and Valley Corp. B.

10

11 12

13

1415

(X)

17

16

18

19 20

2122

24

23

26

25

2728

PROOF OF SERVICE

I am over 18 years of age and not a party to the within action. I am employed in the County of San Francisco; my business address is Yaron & Associates, 601 California Street, 21st Floor, San Francisco, California 94108.

On August 31, 2011, I served the within:

GREENAN, PEFFER, SALLANDER &

6111 Bollinger Canyon Road, Suite 500

Attorney for Ronald J. Haas, an individual

STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER SELECTING ADR PROCESS

as addressed below, by causing a true copy thereof to be distributed as follows:

Kim O. Dincel

HINES SMITH CARDER DINCEL BLAND

25 Metro Drive, Suite 600 San Jose, CA 95110

Tel: (408) 573-5725 Fax: (408) 519-2606

Email: kdincel@hinessmith.com

Attorney for Karen Levine and Ty Levine

- VIA U.S. MAIL: I am "readily familiar" with the firms practice of collection and processing correspondence for mailing. Under that practice it would be deposited with the U.S. Postal service on that same day with postage thereon fully prepaid in the ordinary course of business. I am aware that on motion of the party served, service is presumed invalid if postal cancellation date or postage meter date is more than one day after date of deposit for mailing in affidavit.
- () VIA HAND-DELIVERY: I caused such envelope, to be hand delivered to the stated parties.
- () VIA FAX: I caused such documents to be transmitted via fax to the stated parties at their respective facsimile numbers.
- () VIA EXPRESS CARRIER: I caused such documents to be collected by an agent for Federal Express to be delivered to the offices of the stated parties.
- () VIA E-MAIL: I caused such document to be sent via email to the offices of the addressees so designated.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct, and that this declaration was executed on **August 31, 2011**, at San Francisco, California 94108.

Lydia Burton

gdi/hot